

Septechnical • Construction Materials • Environmental • Facilities

November 29, 2021

Mr. Maurice Rudolph HYDRY Company, LLC 4314 Pablo Oaks Court Jacksonville, Florida 32224

ECS Project No. 35:29020-A1

Client ID: 3524

Reference: Preliminary Report of Geotechnical Exploration

River Landing Lot 71

Nocatee, St. Johns County, Florida

Dear Mr. Rudolph:

ECS Florida, LLC (ECS) has completed the requested preliminary geotechnical exploration in general accordance with our Proposal No. 35:17711-GPR dated April 5, 2021. The exploration was performed to explore the general subsurface conditions within the proposed lot area and to provide preliminary recommendations for foundation support.

Additional field testing should be performed to formulate detailed foundation design and site preparation and earthwork construction recommendations prior to final design. Once more detailed information regarding the proposed structure is developed, we should be given the opportunity to review and develop a supplemental design-phase scope of services.

PROJECT INFORMATION

The general site location is shown on the Site Location Diagram (Figure 1). At the time of our exploration, the site was undeveloped, with ground surface cover consisting of brush and trees. Surface water was not observed near the planned building area at the time of our exploration.

You provided a copy of a site plan for the subject site. This plan indicates the boundary limits for the property and the existing roadways adjacent to the site. However, we note the location of the proposed structure(s) was not available to our office at the time of this report preparation.

The following information explains our assumptions of the planned development.

SUBJECT	DESIGN INFORMATION / ASSUMPTIONS	
# of Stories	3 stories above grade	
Usage	Residential	
Column Loads ⁽¹⁾	50 kips	
Wall Loads ⁽¹⁾	3 kips per linear foot (klf) maximum	
Floor Loads ⁽¹⁾	150 pounds per square foot (psf) maximum	
Fill and Cut Heights	Assumed a maximum of 3 feet of fill and only minor cuts, from existing site grades	

⁽¹⁾ If actual structural loads differ from these assumed loads ECS must be contacted immediately in order to revise building foundation recommendations and settlement calculations, as needed.

FIELD EXPLORATION

We performed a field exploration on September 7, 2021. The approximate boring location is indicated on the attached Field Exploration Diagram (Figure 2). Our personnel determined the boring location using a handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) unit. The boring location on the referenced Field Exploration Diagram should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method of measurement used.

We located and performed one Standard Penetration Test (SPT) boring, drilled to a depth of approximately 15 feet below the existing ground surface, in general accordance with the methodology outlined in ASTM D 1586 to explore the subsurface conditions within the lot area. Soil samples recovered during performance of the boring were visually classified in the field and representative portions of the samples were transported to our laboratory for further evaluation. Our exploration procedures are explained in greater detail in Appendix B including the insert titled Subsurface Exploration Procedures.

VISUAL CLASSIFICATION

Each sample was visually classified on the basis of texture and plasticity in accordance with ASTM D2488 Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedures) and including USCS classification symbols, and ASTM D2487 Standard Practice for Classification for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)). After classification, the samples were grouped in the major zones noted on the boring logs in Appendix B. The group symbols for each soil type are indicated in parentheses along with the soil descriptions. The stratification lines between strata on the logs are approximate; in situ, the transitions may be gradual.

GENERAL SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

It should be understood that the soil conditions will vary adjacent to the boring location and in areas of the site not explored during our visit. The following table summarizes the soil conditions encountered.

Typical De	pth (ft)	Stratum	Description	
From	То			
Existing Ground Surface	0.5 – 1	N/A	Topsoil	
0.5 – 1	8	ı	Very Loose to Loose FINE SAND (SP) with Shell Fragments, Moist to Wet	
8	11	П	Very Soft CLAY (CH), Wet	
11	15	III	Medium Dense SAND (SP), Wet	

Groundwater was encountered at the boring location and recorded at the time of drilling at a depth of approximately 2 feet below the existing ground surface. We note that groundwater levels will fluctuate due to seasonal climatic variations, surface water runoff patterns, construction operations, and other interrelated factors. The groundwater depth at each boring location is noted on the Generalized Subsurface Profiles and on the Log of Boring records.

PRELIMINARY DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Our geotechnical engineering evaluation of the site and subsurface conditions at the property, with respect to the planned construction and our recommendations for earthwork and foundation support, are based on (1) our site observations, (2) the field and laboratory test data obtained, (3) our understanding of the project information and structural conditions as presented in this report, and (4) our experience with similar soil and loading conditions.

Additional field testing should be performed to formulate detailed foundation design and site preparation and earthwork construction recommendations prior to final design. Also, the discovery of any site or subsurface conditions during construction that deviate from the data obtained during this geotechnical exploration should also be reported to us for our evaluation.

Based on the above preliminary evaluation of the site and subsurface conditions at the borings with respect to the anticipated construction, it appears the proposed structure can be constructed on a conventional shallow foundation system with typical site preparation techniques.

Conventional Shallow Foundation Support

The planned residential structure can be supported by a conventional shallow foundation system ("spread footings") provided the site is properly prepared. Very soft clay (CH) was encountered in the boring. Therefore, if more than two feet of elevating fill is placed on the site, a waiting period may be required to allow for consolidation of the clay layer to occur prior to vertical construction. This should be further evaluated when final grading plans are available. Subsequent to typical site preparation activities (including a waiting period, if required), we expect that shallow spread foundations can be designed for an allowable bearing capacity of 2,500 psf.

REPORT LIMITATIONS

Our geotechnical exploration has been performed, our findings obtained, and our recommendations prepared, in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. ECS is

not responsible for any independent conclusions, interpretation, opinions, or recommendations made by others based on the data contained in this report. Additional field testing should be performed to formulate detailed foundation design and site preparation and earthwork construction recommendations prior to final design.

Respectfully Submitted,

ECS FLORIDA, LLC

Chris M. Egan, P.E.

Geotechnical Department Manager

Registered, Florida No. 79645

CEgan@ecslimited.com

Joey Proussard, P.E. **Principal Engineer**

Registered Florida No. 58233

long moussard

JBroussard@ecslimited.com

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Drawings & Reports

- Figure 1 Site Location Diagram
- Figure 2 Field Exploration Diagram


Appendix B - Field Operations


- Reference Notes for Boring Logs
- Subsurface Exploration Procedure: Standard Penetration Testing (SPT)
- Boring Log

APPENDIX A – Drawings & Reports

Figure 1 - Site Location Diagram

Figure 2 - Field Exploration Diagram






Site Location Diagram

River Landing Natural Lots - Lot 71

St. Johns County, Florida






JAS - 35-29020-A1

APPENDIX B – Field Operations

Reference Notes for Boring Logs Subsurface Exploration Procedure: Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) Boring Log



REFERENCE NOTES FOR BORING LOGS



DRILLING SAMPLING SYMBOLS & ABBREVIATIONS			
SS	Split Spoon Sampler PM Pressuremeter Test		
ST	Shelby Tube Sampler	RD	Rock Bit Drilling
ws	Wash Sample	RC	Rock Core, NX, BX, AX
BS	Bulk Sample of Cuttings	REC	Rock Sample Recovery %
PA	Power Auger (no sample)	RQD	Rock Quality Designation %
HSA	Hollow Stem Auger		


PARTICLE SIZE IDENTIFICATION			
DESIGNAT	ION	PARTICLE SIZES	
Boulders		12 inches (300 mm) or larger	
Cobbles		3 inches to 12 inches (75 mm to 300 mm)	
Gravel:	Coarse	3/4 inch to 3 inches (19 mm to 75 mm)	
	Fine	4.75 mm to 19 mm (No. 4 sieve to 3/4 inch)	
Sand:	Coarse	2.00 mm to 4.75 mm (No. 10 to No. 4 sieve)	
	Medium	0.425 mm to 2.00 mm (No. 40 to No. 10 sieve)	
	Fine	0.074 mm to 0.425 mm (No. 200 to No. 40 sieve)	
Silt & Cla	y ("Fines")	<0.074 mm (smaller than a No. 200 sieve)	

COHESIVE SILTS & CLAYS		
UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH, QP ⁴	SPT ⁵ (BPF)	CONSISTENCY ⁷ (COHESIVE)
<0.25	<2	Very Soft
0.25 - <0.50	2 - 4	Soft
0.50 - <1.00	5 - 8	Firm
1.00 - <2.00	9 - 15	Stiff
2.00 - <4.00	16 - 30	Very Stiff
4.00 - 8.00	31 - 50	Hard
>8.00	>50	Very Hard

RELATIVE AMOUNT ⁷	COARSE GRAINED (%) ⁸	FINE GRAINED (%) ⁸
Trace	<u><</u> 5	<u><</u> 5
With	10 - 20	10 - 25
Adjective (ex: "Silty")	25 - 45	30 - 45

GRAVELS, SANDS & NON-COHESIVE SILTS		
SPT ⁵	DENSITY	
<5	Very Loose	
5 - 10	Loose	
11 - 30	Medium Dense	
31 - 50	Dense	
>50	Very Dense	

	WATER LEVELS ⁶
₹	WL (First Encountered)
Ī	WL (Completion)
Ā	WL (Seasonal High Water)
<u> </u>	WL (Stabilized)



¹Classifications and symbols per ASTM D 2488-17 (Visual-Manual Procedure) unless noted otherwise.

²To be consistent with general practice, "POORLY GRADED" has been removed from GP, GP-GM, GP-GC, SP, SP-SM, SP-SC soil types on the boring logs.

³Non-ASTM designations are included in soil descriptions and symbols along with ASTM symbol [Ex: (SM-FILL)].

⁴Typically estimated via pocket penetrometer or Torvane shear test and expressed in tons per square foot (tsf).

⁵Standard Penetration Test (SPT) refers to the number of hammer blows (blow count) of a 140 lb. hammer falling 30 inches on a 2 inch OD split spoon sampler required to drive the sampler 12 inches (ASTM D 1586). "N-value" is another term for "blow count" and is expressed in blows per foot (bpf). SPT correlations per 7.4.2 Method B and need to be corrected if using an auto hammer.

⁶The water levels are those levels actually measured in the borehole at the times indicated by the symbol. The measurements are relatively reliable when augering, without adding fluids, in granular soils. In clay and cohesive silts, the determination of water levels may require several days for the water level to stabilize. In such cases, additional methods of measurement are generally employed.

⁷Minor deviation from ASTM D 2488-17 Note 14.

 $^{^8\}mbox{Percentages}$ are estimated to the nearest 5% per ASTM D 2488-17.



SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION PROCEDURE: STANDARD PENETRATION TESTING (SPT) ASTM D 1586

Split-Barrel Sampling

Standard Penetration Testing, or **SPT**, is the most frequently used subsurface exploration test performed worldwide. This test provides samples for identification purposes, as well as a measure of penetration resistance, or N-value. The N-Value, or blow counts, when corrected and correlated, can approximate engineering properties of soils used for geotechnical design and engineering purposes.

SPT Procedure:

- Involves driving a hollow tube (split-spoon) into the ground by dropping a 140-lb hammer a height of 30-inches at desired depth
- Recording the number of hammer blows required to drive split-spoon a distance of 18-24 inches (in 3 or 4 Increments of 6 inches each)
- Auger is advanced* and an additional SPT is performed
- One SPT typically performed for every two to five feet. An approximate 1.5 inch diameter soil sample is recovered.





^{*}Drilling Methods May Vary— The predominant drilling methods used for SPT are open hole fluid rotary drilling and hollow-stem auger drilling.



LOG OF BORING

Project No.: <u>35-29020-A1</u>
Boring No.: <u>B24</u>
Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Project: River Landing Natural Lots - Lot 71 Client: HyDry Company, LLC Drill Rig: 101A Driller: M. Foster Drill Rod: AWJ Boring Location: See Field Exploration Plan Hammer Type: Super Gel-X Casing Size: Length of Casing: Drilling 9/7/21 Groundwater Depth: Time: Date: Boring Begun: 9/7/21 Boring Completed: 9/7/21 SHEAR STRENGTH PERCENT ORGANIC
MATERIAL PERCENT PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE (ksf) **BLOWS PER 6 IN.** SAMPLE TYPE **⇔LIQUID LIMIT** Pocket Penetrometer Undisturbed Sample SAMPLE NO. DEPTH, FEET N Value Pocket Penetrometer Disturbed Sample **DESCRIPTION** ▼ Torvane Unconfined Compression 40 0 2 3 3 LOOSE Light Brown Fine SAND With Shell 1 6 Fragments (SP) 3 4 5 2 10 5 4 3 5 8 VERY LOOSE Gray Fine SAND With Shell 2 2 Fragments (SP) 4 4 1 VERY SOFT Gray CLAY (CH) 1/9" 5 1/9" 1/9" 10 MEDIUM DENSE Gray Fine SAND (SP) 6 6 7 13 15 Boring Terminated @ 15 ft. 20 Remarks