



November 10, 2021

Mr. Maurice Rudolph HYDRY Company, LLC 4314 Pablo Oaks Court Jacksonville, Florida 32224

> ECS Project No. 35:29020-A1 Client ID: 3524

Reference: Preliminary Report of Geotechnical Exploration **River Landing Lot 36** Nocatee, St. Johns County, Florida

Dear Mr. Rudolph:

ECS Florida, LLC (ECS) has completed the requested preliminary geotechnical exploration in general accordance with our Proposal No. 35:17711-GPR dated April 5, 2021. The exploration was performed to explore the general subsurface conditions within the proposed lot area and to provide preliminary recommendations for foundation support.

Additional field testing should be performed to formulate detailed foundation design and site preparation and earthwork construction recommendations prior to final design. Once more detailed information regarding the proposed structure is developed, we should be given the opportunity to review and develop a supplemental design-phase scope of services.

PROJECT INFORMATION

The general site location is shown on the Site Location Diagram (Figure 1). At the time of our exploration, the site was undeveloped, with ground surface cover consisting of brush and trees. Surface water was not observed near the planned building area at the time of our exploration.

You provided a copy of a site plan for the subject site. This plan indicates the boundary limits for the property and the existing roadways adjacent to the site. However, we note the location of the proposed structure(s) was not available to our office at the time of this report preparation.

The following information explains our assumptions of the planned development.

SUBJECT	DESIGN INFORMATION / ASSUMPTIONS
# of Stories	3 stories above grade
Usage	Residential
Column Loads ⁽¹⁾	50 kips
Wall Loads ⁽¹⁾	3 kips per linear foot (klf) maximum
Floor Loads ⁽¹⁾	150 pounds per square foot (psf) maximum
Fill and Cut Heights	Assumed a maximum of 3 feet of fill and only minor cuts, from existing site grades

(1) If actual structural loads differ from these assumed loads ECS must be contacted immediately in order to revise building foundation recommendations and settlement calculations, as needed.

FIELD EXPLORATION

We performed a field exploration on July 20, 2021. The approximate boring locations are indicated on the attached Field Exploration Diagram (Figure 2). Our personnel determined the boring locations using a handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) unit. The boring locations on the referenced Field Exploration Diagram should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method of measurement used.

We located and performed two Standard Penetration Test (SPT) borings, drilled to depths of approximately 25 feet below the existing ground surface, in general accordance with the methodology outlined in ASTM D 1586 and two auger borings, drilled to depths of approximately 10 feet below the existing ground surface in general accordance with the methodology outlined in ASTM D 1452 to explore the subsurface conditions within the lot area. Soil samples recovered during performance of the borings were visually classified in the field and representative portions of the samples were transported to our laboratory for further evaluation. Our exploration procedures are explained in greater detail in Appendix B including the insert titled Subsurface Exploration Procedures.

VISUAL CLASSIFICATION

Each sample was visually classified on the basis of texture and plasticity in accordance with ASTM D2488 Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedures) and including USCS classification symbols, and ASTM D2487 Standard Practice for Classification for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)). After classification, the samples were grouped in the major zones noted on the boring logs in Appendix B. The group symbols for each soil type are indicated in parentheses along with the soil descriptions. The stratification lines between strata on the logs are approximate; in situ, the transitions may be gradual.

GENERAL SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

A graphical presentation of the generalized subsurface conditions is presented on Figure 3. It should be understood that the soil conditions will vary between the boring locations and in areas of the site not explored during our visit. The following table summarizes the soil conditions encountered.

Typical De	epth (ft)	Stratum	Description
From	То		
Existing Ground Surface	0.5 – 1	N/A	Topsoil
0.5 – 1	6 - 9	I	Very Loose to Medium Dense FINE SAND With Shell Fragments (SP), Moist
6 – 9	12	П	Very Loose to Medium Dense FINE SAND WITH CLAY (SP-SC) and CLAYEY SAND (SC), Moist to Wet
12	25	Ш	Loose to Dense FINE SAND (SP) and FINE SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM), Wet

A graphical presentation of the subsurface conditions is shown on the Generalized Subsurface Soil Profiles in Appendix A.

Groundwater was encountered at each boring location and recorded at the time of drilling at depths varying from 3 feet to 5.4 feet below the existing ground surface. We note that groundwater levels will fluctuate due to seasonal climatic variations, surface water runoff patterns, construction operations, and other interrelated factors. The groundwater depth at each boring location is noted on the Generalized Subsurface Profiles and on the Log of Boring records.

PRELIMINARY DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Our geotechnical engineering evaluation of the site and subsurface conditions at the property, with respect to the planned construction and our recommendations for earthwork and foundation support, are based on (1) our site observations, (2) the field and laboratory test data obtained, (3) our understanding of the project information and structural conditions as presented in this report, and (4) our experience with similar soil and loading conditions.

Additional field testing should be performed to formulate detailed foundation design and site preparation and earthwork construction recommendations prior to final design. Also, the discovery of any site or subsurface conditions during construction that deviate from the data obtained during this geotechnical exploration should also be reported to us for our evaluation.

Based on the above preliminary evaluation of the site and subsurface conditions at the borings with respect to the anticipated construction, it appears the proposed structure can be constructed on a conventional shallow foundation system.

Conventional Shallow Foundation Support

The planned residential structure can be supported by a conventional shallow foundation system ("spread footings") provided the site is properly prepared. Subsequent to typical site preparation activities, we expect that shallow spread foundations can be designed for an allowable bearing capacity of 2,500 psf.

REPORT LIMITATIONS

Our geotechnical exploration has been performed, our findings obtained, and our recommendations prepared, in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. ECS is not responsible for any independent conclusions, interpretation, opinions, or recommendations made by

others based on the data contained in this report. Additional field testing should be performed to formulate detailed foundation design and site preparation and earthwork construction recommendations prior to final design.

Respectfully Submitted **ECS FLORIDA, LLC**

Chris M. Egan, P.E. **Geotechnical Department Manager** Registered, Florida No. 79645 <u>CEgan@ecslimited.com</u>

long moussard Joey Proussard, P.E.

Joey **B**roussard, P.E. **Principal Engineer** Registered Florida No. 58233 <u>JBroussard@ecslimited.com</u>

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Drawings & Reports

- Figure 1 Site Location Diagram
- Figure 2 Field Exploration Diagram
- Figure 3 Generalized Subsurface Profiles

Appendix B – Field Operations

- Reference Notes for Boring Logs
- Subsurface Exploration Procedure: Standard Penetration Testing (SPT)
- Boring Logs

APPENDIX A – Drawings & Reports

Figure 1 - Site Location Diagram

Figure 2 - Field Exploration Diagram

Figure 3 - Generalized Subsurface Profiles







APPENDIX B – Field Operations

Reference Notes for Boring Logs Subsurface Exploration Procedure: Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) Boring Logs



REFERENCE NOTES FOR BORING LOGS

MATERIAL	,-				JRILLING	SAMP LING	STWDC	JLS 6				
			SS	Split Spoo	n Sampler		PM	Pre				
	ASPI		ST	Shelby Tul	be Sample	r	RD	Ro				
	CON	ODETE	WS	Wash Sam	nple		RC	Ro				
	CON	GRETE	BS	Bulk Samp	ngs	REC	Ro					
	CPA	VEL	PA	Power Aug	ger (no san	nple)	RQD	Ro				
	GRA	VEL	HSA	Hollow Ste	m Auger							
	TOP	SOIL			F	PARTICLE SIZE IDENT						
	VOID		DESIGNA	TION	PARTI	PARTICLE SIZES						
			Boulde	rs	12 i	nches (300	mm) or l	arger				
	BRIC	ĸ	Cobble	s	3 in	ches to 12 i	nches (7	5 mm				
			Gravel:	Coarse	³⁄₄ ir	nch to 3 inch	nes (19 n	nm to				
<u></u>	AGG	REGATE BASE COURSE		Fine	4.75	5 mm to 19 i	mm (No.	4 sie				
	~~~		Sand:	Coarse	2.00	) mm to 4.7	5 mm (N	o. 10				
	GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVEL		Medium	0.42	25 mm to 2.0	00 mm ( <b>1</b>	No. 4				
20	0.0			Fine	0.07	74 mm to 0.4	425 mm	(No.				
Č,	GP	gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Silt & C	lay ("Fines")	) <0.0	074 mm (sm	aller tha	naN				
° (C)	GM											
2	0	gravel-sand-silt mixtures		COHESIVI	E SILTS &							
ŝ	GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL	UNCO	NFINED				F				
$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$		gravel-sand-clay mixtures	СОМР	RESSIVE	<b>SPT</b> ⁵	CONSISTE						
	SW	WELL-GRADED SAND	STREN	GTH, QP⁴	(BPF)	(COHESI	VE)	Tr				
100		gravelly sand, little or no fines	<	0.25	<2	Very So	oft					
	SP	POORLY-GRADED SAND	0.25	- <0.50	2 - 4	Soft		W				
		gravelly sand, little or no fines	0.50	- <1.00	5 - 8	Firm		A				
	SM	SILTY SAND	1.00	- <2.00	9 - 15	Stiff		(e.				
		sand-slit mixtures	2.00	- <4.00	16 - 30	Very St	iff					
/ / ;	SC	CLAYEY SAND	4.00	0 - 8.00	31 - 50	Hard		-				
/. /.		sand-clay mixtures	>	8.00	>50	Very Ha	ırd					
	ML	SILT	54				2					
			GRAVE	LS, SANDS	& NON-C	OHESIVE S	BILTS	1 7				
		high plasticity		SPT⁵		DENSITY						
$\left  \right $	CL	LEAN CLAY		<5		Very Loose						
		low to medium plasticity		5 - 10		Loose		Ī				
	СН	FAT CLAY	1	1 - 30	М	edium Dens	e					
		high plasticity	3	31 - 50		Dense						
ک ک	OL	ORGANIC SILT or CLAY non-plastic to low plasticity		>50		Very Dense						
$\mathbb{S}$	ОН	ORGANIC SILT or CLAY				FIL		ROCH				
	рт	PEAT						Γ				
IZ SI IZ												
<u>6</u> 7		highly organic soils										

¹Classifications and symbols per ASTM D 2488-17 (Visual-Manual Procedure) unless noted otherwise.

²To be consistent with general practice, "POORLY GRADED" has been removed from GP, GP-GM, GP-GC, SP, SP-SM, SP-SC soil types on the boring logs.

³Non-ASTM designations are included in soil descriptions and symbols along with ASTM symbol [Ex: (SM-FILL)].

⁴Typically estimated via pocket penetrometer or Torvane shear test and expressed in tons per square foot (tsf).

⁵Standard Penetration Test (SPT) refers to the number of hammer blows (blow count) of a 140 lb. hammer falling 30 inches on a 2 inch OD split spoon sampler

required to drive the sampler 12 inches (ASTM D 1586). "N-value" is another term for "blow count" and is expressed in blows per foot (bpf). SPT correlations per 7.4.2 Method B and need to be corrected if using an auto hammer.

⁶The water levels are those levels actually measured in the borehole at the times indicated by the symbol. The measurements are relatively reliable when augering, without adding fluids, in granular soils. In clay and cohesive silts, the determination of water levels may require several days for the water level to stabilize. In such cases, additional methods of measurement are generally employed.

⁷Minor deviation from ASTM D 2488-17 Note 14.

⁸Percentages are estimated to the nearest 5% per ASTM D 2488-17.

essuremeter Test ck Bit Drilling ck Core, NX, BX, AX ck Sample Recovery % ck Quality Designation %

	PARTICLE SIZE IDENTIFICATION											
DESIGNATIO	N	PARTICLE SIZES										
Boulders		12 inches (300 mm) or larger										
Cobbles		3 inches to 12 inches (75 mm to 300 mm)										
Gravel:	Coarse	3/4 inch to 3 inches (19 mm to 75 mm)										
	Fine	4.75 mm to 19 mm (No. 4 sieve to ¾ inch)										
Sand:	Coarse	2.00 mm to 4.75 mm (No. 10 to No. 4 sieve)										
	Medium	0.425 mm to 2.00 mm (No. 40 to No. 10 sieve)										
	Fine	0.074 mm to 0.425 mm (No. 200 to No. 40 sieve)										
Silt & Clay ("Fines")		<0.074 mm (smaller than a No. 200 sieve)										

RELATIVE AMOUNT ⁷	COARSE GRAINED (%) ⁸	FINE GRAINED (%) ⁸
Trace	<u>&lt;</u> 5	<5
With	10 - 20	10 - 25
Adjective (ex: "Silty")	25 - 45	30 - 45

WAT	ER I	LEV	'EL	.S ⁶	

- WL (Completion)
- WL (Seasonal High Water)
- WL (Stabilized)

FILL AND ROCK											
FILL	POSSIBLE FILL	PROBABLE FILL	ROCK								



## SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION PROCEDURE: STANDARD PENETRATION TESTING (SPT) ASTM D 1586 Split-Barrel Sampling

Standard Penetration Testing, or **SPT**, is the most frequently used subsurface exploration test performed worldwide. This test provides samples for identification purposes, as well as a measure of penetration resistance, or N-value. The N-Value, or blow counts, when corrected and correlated, can approximate engineering properties of soils used for geotechnical design and engineering purposes.

## **SPT Procedure:**

- Involves driving a hollow tube (split-spoon) into the ground by dropping a 140-lb hammer a height of 30-inches at desired depth
- Recording the number of hammer blows required to drive split-spoon a distance of 12 inches (in 3 or 4 Increments of 6 inches each)
- Auger is advanced* and an additional SPT is performed
- One SPT test is typically performed for every two to five feet
- Obtain two-inch diameter soil sample

**Drilling Methods May Vary*— The predominant drilling methods used for SPT are open hole fluid rotary drilling and hollow-stem auger drilling.







 Project No.:
 35-29020-A1

 Boring No.:
 NB45

 Sheet
 ______
 of
 ______

Project: River Landing Natural Lots - Lot 36 Client: HyDry Company, LLC																		
Boring	Location	ו: ו:	See Field Exploration	n Plan			Dril Dril	l Rig: l Rod:	<u>104</u> AW	<u>а</u> Ј		Driller: <u>M. Letchworth</u> Drill Mud: Super Gel-X						
8							Cas	ing Siz	ze:	-		Length of Casing:						
Ground	lwater D	eptł	n: <u>8 ft</u> Time:	Drilling	Date:	7/20/21	Bor	ing Be	gun:	7/20/	21	B	oring	Com	pleted: <u>7/</u>	20/21		
SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE TYPE	DESCRIPTION	I			BLOWS PER 6 IN.	N Value	PERCENT ORGANIC MATERIAL	PERCENT PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE		(%) (%) (%)			SHEAR S (I) O Pocket P, Undistutive Pocket P, Disturbed V Torvane Unconfine O 0	TRENGTH (sf) enetrometer ed Sample enetrometer Sample ed Compression ompression		
1			Topsoil VERY LOOSE Light B	rown Fine SA	ND (SP)		1/12" 1 3	1										
2			Fragments (SP)	ile SAND, Sc	Shen		2 3 4 6 6	7				•						
3	5		MEDIUM DENSE to V Fine SAND (SP)	ERY LOOSE	E Light Gray		6 6 5 1	12				•						
4			VERY LOOSE Dark B (SP-SC)	own Fine SA	ND With Cl	ay	2 2 4	4				•						
5	10		MEDIUM DENSE Bro	vn Clayey Fii	ne SAND (So		3 4 7 7	11										
6	15		MEDIUM DENSE Dar Silt (SP-SM)	c Brown Fine	SAND With		7 7 7	14										
7	20		MEDIUM DENSE Bro	vn Fine SAN	D (SP)		4 6 6	12										
8			DENSE Gray Fine SAN (SP)	D With Shell	l Fragments		9 15 20	35										
Remar	·ks		Boring Ter	minated @ 25	o It.													



 Project No.:
 35-29020-A1

 Boring No.:
 NB46

 Sheet
 1
 of
 1

Project: River Landing Natural Lots - Lot 36 Client: HyDry Company, LLC																		
Boring	Locatio	n:	See Field Exploration	on Plan			_ Dril Dril	l Rıg: l Rod:	<u>104</u> AW	<u>ч</u> Ј		D D	riller: rill M	<u>M.</u> [ud:	<u>Letc</u> Supe	<u>1worth</u> r Gel-X	X	
			<b>1</b>				Cas	ing Siz	ze:			L	ength	of Ca	sing:			
Ground	lwater D	ept]	n: <u>8 ft</u> Time: _	Drilling I	Date: _	7/20/21	_ Bor	ing Be	gun:	7/20/	21	Boring Completed: 7/20/2					0/21	
SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE TYPE	DESCRIPTIO	N			BLOWS PER 6 IN.	N Value	PERCENT ORGANIC MATERIAL	PERCENT PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE		+ WOISTURE			<ul> <li>SHI</li> <li>Poi</li> <li>Poi</li> <li>Di</li> <li>Di</li> <li>Tr</li> <li>0</li> </ul>	EAR SI (ks pocket Per bocket Per sturbed S prvane nconfined iaxial Cor	RENGTI aetrometer d Sample getrometer sample Compress npression	H sion
1			Topsoil VERY LOOSE to LOO (SP)	OSE Light Brown F	Fine SAN	₩D U	1 2 2 2 2	4										
2			LOOSE Light Gray Fi	ne SAND (SP)			2 4 3 3	6										
3	5						3 4 7 5 4	7										
4			MEDIUM DENSE Gra	ay Brown Fine SAN	ND (SP)	<b>T</b>	5 5 4 6	9										
5			MEDIUM DENSE Da Clay (SP-SC)	rk Brown Fine SA	ND With		6 10	12										
6	15		MEDIUM DENSE Da SAND, Trace Shell Fr	rk Gray and Browr agments (SP)	1 Fine		10 10 8	18										
			LOOSE Dark Brown I	Fine SAND With Si	ilt (SP-S	M)	2						· · · ·					
7	20		MEDIUM DENSE Gr	ay Fine SAND With	n Shell		3 4	7										
8	25		Fragments (SP)				8 12 15	27										
Remar	Remarks																	



Project	Project: River Landing Natural Lots - Lot 36 Client: HyDry Company, LLC																			
Boring	Location	: <u>s</u>	See Field Ex	ploration	Plan			Dril Dril	l Rig: l Rod:	<u>104</u> <u>Flig</u>	A ht Au	ger		Drillei Drill N	:: <u>C.</u> /Iud:	Morgar	i			
Ground	hunter De	mth		- Timo:	Drilling	Data	7/20/21	_ Cas	ing Siz	ze:	7/20/	21		Lengtl	n of C	asing:	7/20	/21		
		-pui	<u> </u>		Diming	_ Date.	//20/21							SHEAR STRENGTH						
SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE TYPE	DESCF	BLOWS PER 6 IN.	N Value	PERCENT ORGANIC MATERIAL	PERCENT PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE	- OPLASTIC LIMIT	JU - 10	+ CONTENT + (%) 20 30		<ul> <li>Pocl Undi</li> <li>Pocl Disti</li> <li>Torva</li> <li>Unco</li> <li>Triax</li> </ul>	(ksf) et Penel irbed Sa ane onfined C ial Comp	) trometer Sample trometer mple Compression pression						
1 2 3 4	0		Formation         Second Fine         Brown Fine         Second Fine         Brown Gray I         E	Fine SAND AND With Fine SAND oring Term	(SP) Clay (SP-SC) With Clay (SI inated @ 10 f	P-SC)														
Remar	·ks																			



 Project No.:
 35-29020-A1

 Boring No.:
 NA46

 Sheet
 1
 of
 1

Project:	River	Lar	nding Natural Lots -		Client: <u>HyDry Company, LLC</u>												
Boring	Location	n:	See Field Explorati	on Plan			_ Dril Dril	l Rig: l Rod:	<u>104</u> Flig	4 ht Au	ger	Dr Dr	iller: ill Mu	<u>C. N</u> 1d:	Aorgan		
	D	41		D.:111	Deter	7/20/21	_ Cas	ing Siz	ze:	7/20/	21	Le	ngth o	of Cas	sing: _	7/20/21	
Ground	water D	epti	n: <u>NE</u> 11me:	Drilling	_ Date:	//20/21	_ Bor	ing Be	gun:	//20/	21	B0	oring	_omp		//20/21	сн
SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH, FEET		DESCRIPTIO	IN			BLOWS PER 6 IN.	N Value	PERCENT ORGANIC MATERIAL	PERCENT PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE	C OPLASTIC LIMIT	+ MOISTURE + CONTENT	30		<ul> <li>Pocke Undist</li> <li>Pocke Distur</li> <li>Torvar</li> <li>Uncor</li> <li>Triaxia</li> <li>0</li> </ul>	(ksf) t Penetrometer surbed Sample t Penetrometer bed Sample ne fined Compression	n
1			Topsoil Brown Fine SAND W Light Gray Fine SAN Dark Brown Clayey F Boring To	7ith Shell Fragmo D (SP) Fine SAND (SC) erminated @ 10	ft.												
Remar	••• NE =	Gro	oundwater Level Not E	Encountered at T	ime of Dri	lling.											